


## MEMORANDUM OPINION

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of the submission referenced above in this case. The court has reviewed the submission in the context of this case. To the extent the submission may be construed as an objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the court **OVERRULES** that objection. Nothing in the submission, even if construed as an objection, suggests that the Magistrate Judge erred in concluding Young's claims

challenging the revocation of his parole are time-barred and meritless. At most, Young merely reiterates arguments considered and rejected by the Magistrate Judge.

After careful consideration of the record in this case, including the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and Young's submission construed as an objection, the court **ADOPTS** the Report and **ACCEPTS** the Recommendation. Consistent with that recommendation, the court finds Young's habeas petition is due to be denied. A final judgment will be entered.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this March 17, 2023.

  
**R. DAVID PROCTOR**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE